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The molecular clock idea

- First proposed by Zuckerkandl and Pauling (1965) based on haemoglobin data
- Sequences accumulate changes at a constant rate
- There is a linear relationship between sequence divergence (corrected for multiple hits) and time since divergence

S. Blair Hedges and Sudhir Kumar 2003
The Neutral Theory of molecular evolution

States that most mutations are either selectively neutral or nearly so.

Consider population of size $N$ with a neutral mutation rate at a locus of $\lambda$ mutations per gamete per generation

No. of new mutations = $\lambda \times 2N$

Probability of fixation by genetic drift = frequency, $p = 1/2N$

Number of new mutations per generation that are likely to become fixed by genetic drift = no. of mutations $\times$ probability of fixation = $\lambda$
Molecular clocks

The rate of fixation of neutral mutations is equal to the mutation rate.

Thus, the sequences diverge at a constant rate.

The divergence between two sequences can be used to say when the two organisms diverged from each other.

But remember:
• Not all mutations are neutral.
• Not all loci change at the same rate.
• Transitions are more common than transversions.
• Rates are strictly based on generations (not years), and reproductive rates vary between species.
Given

- a phylogenetic tree
- branch lengths (rt)
- a time estimate for one (or more) node

Can we date other nodes in the tree?

Yes... if the rate of molecular change is constant across all branches
Rate Constancy in Hemoglobin gene

Amount of genetic difference between sequences is a function of time since separation.

Rate of molecular change is constant (enough) to predict times of divergence.
Overview

- **Methods for estimating time under a molecular clock**
  - Estimating genetic distance
  - Determining and using calibration points

- **Rate heterogeneity**
  - reasons for variation
  - how its taken into account when estimating times
Time Estimation through Molecular clocks

1. We can estimate the number of amino acid replacements between the two sequences as:

\[ d_{cal} = -\ln(1 - \frac{n}{L}) \]

Where \( n \) is the number of amino acid differences between the aligned sequences and \( L \) is the length of the ungapped alignment.

2. Rate of replacement is:

\[ r = \frac{d}{2T} \]

Where \( T \) is the time of divergence between the two sequences.

3. Under the assumption that all lineages in a study evolve at the same rate, and assuming that we know the divergence time between two taxa (\( T_{cal} \) = calibration time), we can use the number of amino acid replacements between two sequences from these two taxa (\( d_{cal} \)) to calculate a universal rate as:

\[ r_{cons} = \frac{d_{cal}}{2T_{cal}} \]

4. We can, then, take any pair of sequences from any two taxa, estimate \( d \); and calculate the time of divergence as:

\[ T = \frac{d}{2r_{const}} \]
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Estimating Genetic Differences

If all nt equally likely, the observed difference would plateau at 0.75. Simply counting differences underestimates distances and fails to count for multiple hits. (Kumar & Nei p19)

Simplest: p-distance

Simply counting differences underestimates distances. Fails to count for multiple hits.

(Kumar & Nei p19)
Estimating Genetic Distance with a Substitution Model

- accounts for relative frequency of different types of substitutions
- allows variation in substitution rates between sites
- given learned parameter values
  - nucleotide frequencies
  - transition/transversion bias
  - alpha parameter of gamma distribution
- can infer branch length from differences
Distances from Gamma-Distributed Rates

- rate variation among sites
  - “fast/variable” sites
    - 3rd codon positions
    - codons on surface of globular protein
  - “slow/invariant” sites
    - Trytophan (1 codon) structurally required
    - 1st or 2nd codon position when di-sulfide bond needed
- alpha parameter of gamma distribution describes degree of variation of rates across positions
- modeling rate variation changes branch length/sequence differences curve
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Calibration Complexities

- Cannot date fossils perfectly
- Fossils usually not direct ancestors
  - branched off tree before (after?) splitting event.
- Impossible to pinpoint the age of last common ancestor of a group of living species
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## Rate Heterogeneity among Lineages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cause</th>
<th>Reason</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Repair equipment</td>
<td>e.g. RNA viruses have error-prone polymerases</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic rate</td>
<td>More free radicals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Generation time</td>
<td>Copies DNA more frequently</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Population size</td>
<td>Effects mutation fixation rate</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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Search for Genes with Uniform Rate across Taxa

Many ‘clock’ tests:

- Relative rates tests
  - compares rates of sister nodes using an outgroup
- Tajima test
  - Number of sites in which character shared by outgroup and only one of two ingroups should be equal for both ingroups
Molecular Dating Sources of Error

1. substitution model could be incorrect
2. tree could be incorrect
3. Lack of rate constancy (due to lineage, population size or selection effects)
4. Errors in orthology assignment
5. Stochastic variability
6. Imprecision of calibration points
7. Human sloppiness in analysis
**Data Acquisition Flow Chart**

1050 Macaque Proteins → 663 pruned unique Mml Proteins

Blast search against NCBI

Blast search against the Downloaded Chimpanzee proteins from Ensemble

167 orthologous aminoacid sequences

identified the 3rd codon positions and the fourfold-degenerate (4f non CPG)

ClustalW Alignment Using AA alignments guides

corresponding cDNA sequences for AA

ClustalW Alignment

phylogenetic tree construction
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Bayesian Method

Given some data $X$ and a model (or hypothesis) $H$ that depends on a set of parameters $\theta$, the posterior probability of the parameters:

$$P(\theta|X,H) = \frac{P(X|\theta,H)P(\theta|H)}{P(X|H)}$$

$P(\theta|X,H)$ is called the posterior probability of the parameters when the data and the model are given.

$P(X|\theta,H)$ is called the likelihood of the data when the model and its parameters are given.

$P(\theta|H)$ is the prior probability of the parameters before looking at the data and the model.

$P(X|H)$ is called the evidence of the model.
Multidivtime Software

The Multidivtime software developed by Thorne et al. (1998)
(1) ESTBRANCHES and
(2) MULTIDIVTIME.

ESTBRANCHES
TESTSEQ
Model
HMMCNTRL.DAT
MULTIDIVTIME
MULTICNTRL.DAT
HMMCNTRL.DAT

/* Which Model to use? */
modelinf.f84
L  /* How much output? Options: L = Loud mode (prints more output, the
default), Q = Quiet mode (prints less output - use with parametric
bootstrap) */
(the default option) */
N  /* Does user tree specify names (N) or specify order (O) of sequences
in sequence data file? */
/* The topology is in the file listed below*/
gene.tree
/*/ End of hmmcntrl.dat */
MULTICNTRL.DAT

- gene.tree
- 1 ... number of genes ... FOLLOWING LINES CONTAIN ONLY NAMES OF DATA FILES
- oest.gene1
- 10000 ... numsamps: How many times should the Markov chain be sampled?
- 100 ... sampfreq: How many cycles between samples of the Markov chain?
- 100000 ... burnin: How many cycles before the first sample of Markov chain?
- 23.8 ... rttm: a priori expected number of time units between tip and root
- 23.8 ... rttmsd: standard deviation of prior for time between tip and root
- 0.000429 ... rtrate: mean of prior distribution for rate at root node
- 0.000429 ... rtratesd: standard deviation of prior for rate at root node
- 0.04 ... brownmean: mean of prior for brownian motion constant "nu"
- 0.04 ... brownsd: std. deviation of prior for brownian motion constant "nu"
- /* the following lines are all needed (i.e., do not delete them) but you may not want to alter entries unless you are familiar with the computer code */
MULTICNTRL.DAT

- 1.0 ... minab: parameter for beta prior on proportional node depth
- 0.1 ... newk: parameter in Markov chain proposal step
- 0.5 ... othk: parameter in Markov chain proposal step
- 0.5 ... thek: parameter in Markov chain proposal step
- 110 ... bigtime: number higher than time units between tip and root could be in your wildest imagination
- /* the program will expect the entry below to be the number of constraints and then the specified number of constraints should follow on subsequent lines */
- 1 ... number of constraints on node times
- L 7 20
- 0 ... number of tips which are not collected at time 0
- 0 ... nodata: 1 means approximate prior, 0 means approximate posterior
- 0 ... commonbrown: 1 if all genes have same tendency to change rate, 0 otherwise
Make a choice

1. Single Gene Analysis
2. Multigene analysis

Is the sequence file
1. DNA file or
2. AminoAcid file
(File should be in Phylib format with an empty line at the end of the file)

Give the Name of Sequence file
(File should be in the same folder of the program being executed)

gen1

Give the Name of the tree file
(File should be in the same folder of the program being executed and should be in Phylib format with #Taxa)

gene.tree

Enter the RTTM Value (rttm is the mean of the prior distribution for the time separating the ingroup root from the present)

23.8

Enter the Big Time Value (bigtime is a number that is absolutely positively way bigger than the age of any node in the data set)

110

Please wait while multidivtime gives the node numbers for the given tree structure For imposing the calibration points.......

Please wait while multidivtime gives the node numbers for the given tree structure. For imposing the calibration points.


Enter the number of constraints you want to levy

1

Enter the node number

4

Enter whether the constraint is UPPER or LOWER. Example: U or L

L

Enter the calibration time for the entered node number

23.8

Please wait while the Multidivtime prepares the results.

Output:
Input Sequence File: gnel
Input Tree File : gene.tree
NTTM
: 23.8
BitTime
: 110
Rrate
: 0.000429
Constraints:
   L 4 23.8

Actual time node 3 = 7.51731 (S.D. = 3.59639) (3.76770, 17.07026)
Actual time node 4 = 40.00025 (S.D. = 15.45772) (24.23958, 82.83113)

Multidivtime output file out.txt can also be viewed for the above results.
Estimation of divergence times

(a) Phylogenetic relationships of four species.

(b) The human-chimpanzee divergence time is given by the fraction \( \frac{(h+c)/2}{(a+[h+c]/2)} \) of the time assumed for GA-OWM divergence.

(c) Ape-OWM 23.8 Calibration point
ML analysis

- ML distance method:
- Calibration of 23.8 Ape-OWM
- GTR + $\Gamma$ model for DNA, JTT+$\Gamma$ model for AA
- 3rd codon position (53,008)
- 4.74 point estimate 95% CI 3.39 – 5.06
- 4fold non-CPG
- 4.75 Point estimate
- AA
- 40 % higher point estimate. 95 % CI 4.78 - 8.93
Bayesian Analysis

- RTTM 23.8 MYR’s
- F84+ $\gamma$ model for DNA, JTT+ $\gamma$ model for AA
- 3rd codon position (53,008)
- 4.98 point estimate Ratio 4.82 (24.00/4.98)
  4fold non-CPG
- 5.17 Point estimate Ratio of 4.71 (24.37/5.17)
Comparision of ML and Bayesian Results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Hsa-Ptr estimate</th>
<th>Hsa-Ptr estimate</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bayesian</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3rd position</td>
<td>4.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4F nonCPG</td>
<td>5.12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

24.00/4.98 = 4.82 and 24.34/5.12 = 4.75.
Multifactor Bootstrap Resampling (MBR) (Kumar et al)

2. Sites Resampling
3. Random selection of lineage of time estimation
4. Random selection of the Calibration time from probability distribution.
Validation of MBR CI’s (Kumar et al)

- Computer simulation
- Equal rate, Random rate and Correlated rates.
- MBR contained the true value >95% of the times.
Conclusion (Kumar et al)

- Min Estimates of 4.74 - 4.98 < other studies

Reasons
- Type of data used,
- Calibration points,
- Number of genes.
Future Work

EFG Evolutionary tree and divergence times
Future work

Divergence time estimation of other primates.
Phylogenetic Position of Artyodactyles

Tree building.
Divergence time estimation.
Bayesian analysis.
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Data Acquisition

- 1050 Macaque Genes from EOL Project. The largest collection of the Macaque Genes was present here.

- 663 unique sequences were retained after removing multiple sequences of the same gene.
- Using Macaca as reference we collected all the homologous protein sequences with an E-value lesser than $10^{-10}$ by performing a blast search on GenBank for Human and mouse orthologous sequences.

- Protein Homologues for chimpanzee (Pan troglodytes) were obtained by performing a local blast search on the chimpanzee protein sequences, collected from [http://www.ensembl.org/Download/](http://www.ensembl.org/Download/).

- We took a stringent approach in finding the orthologous by constructing the phylogenetic trees for each thus formed protein pairs by neighbor-joining method using MEGA3 (Kumar S et al., 2004).

The thus obtained 167 orthologous aminoacid sequences were aligned using the default settings of clustalW. coding DNA sequences were aligned taking the amino acid sequences as guides (for codon boundaries).

We then identified the 3rd codon positions and the fourfold-degenerate sites.

As the CpG dinucleotides mutate 7-10 times (Subramanian, S. & Kumar, S 2000) faster than other dinucleotides, the fourfold degenerate sites were separated into those that were involved with CpG dinucleotides and those that were not.