

# Chapter 1

## Nilpotent bases of distributions

Henry G. Hermes

Department of Mathematics  
University of Colorado at Boulder,  
Boulder, Colorado 80309, U.S.A.,  
hermes@euclid.colorado.edu

Matthias Kawski<sup>1</sup>

Department of Mathematics and Statistics  
Arizona State University,  
Tempe, Arizona 85287-1804, U.S.A.,  
kawski@asu.edu

### 1.1 Description of the problem

When modelling controlled dynamical systems one commonly chooses individual control variables  $u_1, \dots, u_m$  which appear *natural* from a physical, or practical point of view. In the case of nonlinear models evolving on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  (or more generally, an analytic manifold  $M^n$ ) that are affine in the control, such a choice corresponds to selecting vector fields  $f_0, f_1, \dots, f_m: M \mapsto TM$ , and the system takes the form

$$\dot{x} = f_0(x) + \sum_{k=1}^m u_k f_k(x). \quad (1.1)$$

From a geometric point of view such a choice appears arbitrary, and the natural objects are not the vector fields themselves, but their linear span. Formally, for a set  $\mathcal{F} = \{v_1, \dots, v_m\}$  of vector fields define the *distribution spanned by  $\mathcal{F}$*  as

---

<sup>1</sup>Supported in part by NSF-grant DMS 00-72369

$\Delta_{\mathcal{F}}: p \mapsto \{c_1 v_1(p) + \dots + c_m v_m(p): c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathbf{R}\} \subseteq T_p M$ . For *systems with drift*  $f_0$ , the geometric object is the map  $\tilde{\Delta}_{\mathcal{F}}(x) = \{f_0(x) + c_1 f_1(x) + \dots + c_m f_m(x): c_1, \dots, c_m \in \mathbf{R}\}$  whose image at every point  $x$  is an affine subspace of  $T_x M$ . The geometric character of the distribution is captured by its invariance under the *group of feedback transformations*. In traditional notation (here formulated for systems with drift) these are (analytic) maps (defined on suitable subsets)  $\alpha: M^n \times \mathbf{R}^m \mapsto \mathbf{R}^m$  such that for each fixed  $x \in M^n$  the map  $v \mapsto \alpha(x, v)$  is affine and invertible. Customarily one identifies  $\alpha(x, \cdot)$  with a matrix and writes

$$u_k(x) = \alpha_{0k}(x) + v_1 \alpha_{1k}(x) + \dots + v_m \alpha_{mk}(x) \quad \text{for } k = 1, \dots, m. \quad (1.2)$$

This transformation of the controls induces a corresponding transformation of the vector fields defined by  $\dot{x} = f_0(x) + \sum_{k=1}^m u_k f_k(x) \stackrel{!}{=} g_0(x) + \sum_{k=1}^m v_k g_k(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} g_0(x) &= f_0(x) + \alpha_{01}(x) f_1(x) + \dots + \alpha_{0m}(x) f_m(x) \\ g_k(x) &= \alpha_{k1}(x) f_1(x) + \dots + \alpha_{km}(x) f_m(x), \quad k = 1, \dots, m \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

Assuming linear independence of the vector fields such feedback transformations amount to *changes of basis* of the associated distributions. One naturally studies the *orbits* of any given system under this group action, i.e. the collection of equivalent systems. Of particular interest are *normal forms*, i.e. natural distinguished representatives for each orbit. Geometrically (i.e., without choosing local coordinates for the state  $x$ ) these are characterized by properties of the Lie algebra  $L(g_0, g_1, \dots, g_m)$  generated by the vector fields  $g_k$  (acknowledging the special role of  $g_0$  if present).

Recall that a Lie algebra  $L$  is called nilpotent (solvable) if its central descending series  $L^{(k)}$  (derived series  $L^{<k>}$ ) is finite, i.e. there exists  $r < \infty$  such that  $L^{(r)} = \{0\}$  ( $L^{<r>} = \{0\}$ ). Here  $L = L^{(1)} = L^{<1>}$  and inductively  $L^{(k+1)} = [L^{(k)}, L^{(1)}]$  and  $L^{<k+1>} = [L^{<k>}, L^{<k>}]$ .

The main questions of practical importance are:

**Problem 1.**

Find necessary and sufficient conditions for a distribution  $\Delta_{\mathcal{F}}$  spanned by a set of analytic vector fields  $\mathcal{F} = \{f_1, \dots, f_m\}$  to admit a *basis* of analytic vector fields  $\mathcal{G} = \{g_1, \dots, g_m\}$  which generate a Lie algebra  $L(g_1, \dots, g_m)$  that has a *desirable structure*, i.e. that is **a.** nilpotent, **b.** solvable, or **c.** finite dimensional.

**Problem 2.**

Describe an algorithm that constructs such a basis  $\mathcal{G}$  from a given basis  $\mathcal{F}$ .

## 1.2 Motivation and history of the problem

There is an abundance of mathematical problems, which are hard as given, yet are almost trivial when written in the *right* coordinates. Classical examples of finding the *right coordinates* (or, rather, the right bases) are transformations that *diagonalize* operators in linear algebra and functional analysis. Similarly,

every system of (ordinary) differential equation is equivalent (via a choice of local coordinates) to the system  $\dot{x}_1 = 1, \dot{x}_2 = 0, \dots, \dot{x}_n = 0$  (in the neighbourhood of every point that is not an equilibrium). In control, for many purposes the most convenient form is the controller canonical form (e.g. in the case of  $m = 1$ )  $\dot{x}_1 = u$  and  $\dot{x}_k = x_{k-1}$  for  $1 < k \leq n$ . Every controllable linear system can be brought into this form via feedback and a linear coordinate change. For control systems that are not equivalent to linear systems the next best choice is a polynomial cascade system  $\dot{x}_1 = u$  and  $\dot{x}_k = p_k(x_1, \dots, x_{k-1})$  for  $1 < k \leq n$ . (Both linear and nonlinear cases have natural multi-input versions for  $m > 1$ .) What makes such linear or polynomial cascade form so attractive for both analysis and design is that trajectories  $x(t, u)$  may be computed from controls  $u(t)$  by *quadratures* only, obviating the need to solve nonlinear ODEs. Typical examples include pole placement and path planning [11, 16, 19]. In particular, if the Lie algebra is nilpotent (or similarly nice), the general solution formula for  $x(\cdot, u)$  as an exponential Lie series [20] (which generalizes *matrix exponentials* to nonlinear systems) collapses and becomes innately manageable.

It is well known that a system can be brought into such polynomial cascade form via a coordinate change if and only if the Lie algebra  $L(f_1, \dots, f_m)$  is nilpotent [9]. Similar results for solvable Lie algebras are available [1]. This leaves open only the geometric question about when does a distribution admit a nilpotent (or solvable) basis.

### 1.3 Related results

In [5] it is shown that for every  $2 \leq k \leq (n - 1)$  there is a  $k$ -distribution  $\Delta$  on  $\mathbf{R}^n$  which does not admit a solvable basis in a neighborhood of zero. This shows the problems of nilpotent and solvable bases are not trivial.

Geometric properties, such as small-time local controllability (STLC) are, by their very nature, unaffected by feedback transformations. Thus conditions for STLC provide valuable information whether any two systems can be feedback equivalent. Typical such information, generalizing the controllability indices of linear systems theory, is contained in the *growth vector*, that is the dimensions of the *derived distributions* which are defined inductively by  $\Delta^{(1)} = \Delta$  and  $\Delta^{(k+1)} = \Delta^{(k)} + \{[v, w]: v \in \Delta^{(k)}, w \in \Delta^{(1)}\}$ .

Of highest practical interest is the case when the system is (locally) equivalent to a linear system  $\dot{x} = Ax + Bu$  (for some choice of local coordinates). Necessary and sufficient conditions for such exact *feedback linearization* together with algorithms for constructing the transformation and coordinates were obtained in the 1980s [6, 7]. The geometric criteria are nicely stated in terms of the involutivity (closedness under Lie bracketing) of the distributions spanned by the sets  $\{(\text{ad}^j f_0, f_1): 0 \leq j \leq k\}$  for  $0 \leq k \leq m$ .

A necessary condition for exact nilpotentization is based on the observation that every nilpotent Lie algebra contains at least one element that commutes with every other element [4].

A well-studied special case is that of nilpotent systems which can be brought

into *chained-form*, compare [16]. This is closely related to *differentially flat* systems, compare [2, 8], which have been the focus of much study in the 1990s. The key property is the existence of an *output function* such that all system variables can be expressed in terms of functions of a finite number of derivatives of this output. This work is more naturally performed using a dual description in terms of exterior differential systems and co-distributions  $\Delta^\perp = \{\omega: M \mapsto T^*M : \langle \omega, f \rangle = 0 \text{ for all } f \in \Delta\}$ . This description is particularly convenient when working with small co-dimension  $n - m$ , compare [12] for a recent survey. (Special care needs to be taken at singular points where the dimensions of  $\Delta^{(k)}$  are nonconstant.) This language allows one to directly employ the machinery of *Cartan's method of equivalence* [3]. However, a *nice* description of a system in terms of differential forms does not necessarily translate in a straightforward manner into a nice description in terms of vector fields (that e.g. generate a finite dimensional, or nilpotent Lie algebra).

Some of the most notable recent progress has been made in the general framework of Goursat distributions, see e.g. [13, 14, 15, 17, 18, 21] for detailed descriptions, the most recent results and further relevant references.

# Bibliography

- [1] P. Crouch, “Solvable approximations to control systems”, *SIAM J. Control & Optim.*, 22, pp. 40-45 (1984).
- [2] M. Fliess, J. Levine, P. Martin and P. Rouchon, “Some open questions related to flat nonlinear systems”, *Open problems in Mathematical Systems and Control Theory*, V. Blondel, E. Sontag, M. Vidyasagar, and J. Willems, eds., Springer, (1999).
- [3] R. Gardener, “The method of equivalence and its applications” *CBMS NSF Regional Conference Series in Applied Mathematics*, SIAM, 58, (1989).
- [4] H. Hermes, A. Lundell, and D. Sullivan, “Nilpotent bases for distributions and control systems”, *J. of Diff. Equations*, 55, pp. 385–400 (1984).
- [5] H. Hermes, “Distributions and the lie algebras their bases can generate”, *Proc. AMS*, 106, pp. 555–565 (1989).
- [6] R. Hunt, R. Su, and G. Meyer, “Design for multi-input nonlinear systems”, *Differential Geometric Control Theory*, R. Brockett, R. Millmann, H. Sussmann, eds., Birkhäuser, pp. 268–298 (1982).
- [7] B. Jakubczyk and W. Respondek, “On linearization of control systems”, *Bull. Acad. Polon. Sci. Ser. Sci. Math.*, 28, pp. 517–522 (1980).
- [8] F. Jean, “The car with  $n$  trailers: characterization fo the singular configurations”, *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.* 1, pp. 241–266 (1996).
- [9] M. Kawski “Nilpotent Lie algebras of vector fields”, *Journal für die Reine und Angewandte Mathematik*, 188, pp. 1-17 (1988).
- [10] M. Kawski and H. J. Sussmann “Noncommutative power series and formal Lie-algebraic techniques in nonlinear control theory”, *Operators, Systems, and Linear Algebra*, U. Helmke, D. Prätzel-Wolters and E. Zerz, eds., Teubner, 111–128 (1997).
- [11] G. Laffariere and H. Sussmann, “A differential geometric approach to motion planning”, *IEEE International Conference on Robotics and Automation*, pages 1148–1153, Sacramento, CA, 1991.

- [12] R. Montgomery, “A Tour of Subriemannian Geometries, Their Geodesics and Applications”, AMS Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, 91, (2002).
- [13] P. Mormul, “Goursat flags, classification of co-dimension one singularities”, *J. Dynamical and Control Systems*, 6, 2000, pp. 311–330 (2000).
- [14] P. Mormul, “Multi-dimensional Caratn prolongation and special  $k$ -flags”, Technical report, University of Warsaw, Poland (2002).
- [15] P. Mormul, “Goursat distributions not strongly nilpotent in dimensions not exceeding seven”, *Lecture Notes in Control and Inform. Sci.*, 281, pp. 249–261, Springer, Berlin, 2003
- [16] R. Murray, “Nilpotent bases for a class of non-integrable distributions with applications to trajectory generation for nonholonomic systems”, *Mathematics of Controls, Signals, and Systems*, 7, pp. 58–75, (1994).
- [17] W. Pasillas-Lpine, and W. Respondek, “On the geometry of Goursat structures”, *ESAIM Control Optim. Calc. Var.* 6, pp. 119–181 (2001).
- [18] W. Respondek, and W. Pasillas-Lpine, “Extended Goursat normal form: a geometric characterization”, in *Lecture Notes in Control and Inform. Sci.* 259 pp. 323–338, Springer, London (2001).
- [19] J. Strumper and P. Krishnaprasad, “Approximate tracking for systems on three dimensional Lie matrix groups via feedback nilpotentization”, *IFAC Symposium Robot Control* (1997).
- [20] H. Sussmann, “A product expansion of the Chen series”, *Theory and Applications of Nonlinear Control Systems*, C. Byrnes and A. Lindquist eds., Elsevier, pp. 323–335 (1986).
- [21] M. Zhitomirskii, “Singularities and normal forms of smooth distributions”, *Banach Center Publ.*, 32, pp. 379-409 (1995).